IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application) PATENT APPLICATION Inventor(s): John S. Flowers et al. SC/Serial No.: 09/757,872) Art Unit: 2131 Filed: January 10, 2001) Examiner: Unassigned Title: QUERY-BASED RULES FOR USE IN NETWORK SECURITY SYSTEMS Customer No. 23910



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231, on May 7,

Sarah-Barone Schwartz, Reg. No. 40,284

Signature Date: May 7, 2001

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.56

Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

It is requested that the information identified in this statement be considered by the Examiner and made of record in the above-identified application. This statement is not intended to represent that a search has been made or that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56.

Enclosed with this statement are the following:

Form PTO-1449. The Examiner is requested to initial the form and return it to the undersigned in accordance with M.P.E.P. §609.

✓ A copy of each cited document as required by 37 C.F.R. §1.98. Copies are not submitted of U.S. applications, 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(2)(iii), and copies are not submitted of documents already cited or submitted in a parent application from which benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 is claimed, 37 C.F.R. §1.98(d). If any of the cited/submitted documents is in a foreign language, a concise explanation of relevancy is provided pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(3). For foreign language documents cited in a search report by a foreign patent office, the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance is satisfied by the submission herewith of an English language version of the search report. MPEP §609A(3). If a written English-language translation of a non-English language document, or portion thereof, is within the possession, custody or control of, or is readily available

- 1 -

Attorney Docket No.: HVWD-01003USO MEM/SBS

hvwd/1003/1003.006.wpd

to any individual designated in §1.56(c), a copy of the translation accompanies this statement. 37 C.F.R. §1.98(c).

This statement should be considered because:

✓	This statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (b) because:							
	(1)	It is being filed within 3 months of the application filing date; OR						
	(2)	It is being filed within 3 months of entry of a national stage; OR						
	(3)	It is being filed before the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits, OR						
	(4)	It is being filed before the mailing date of the first Office Action after the filing of a Request for Continued Examination under 37 C.F.R. §1.114,						
	whichever occurs last.							
_	Although it may not qualify under subsection (b), this statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R §1.97, subsection (c) because:							
	(1)	It is being filed before the mailing date of a FINAL Office Action and before a Notice of Allowance (whichever occurs first)						
	AND (check at least one of the following)							
	(1)	It is accompanied by the \$240 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(p) OR						
	(2)	It is accompanied by a STATEMENT as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.97(e)						
	Although it may not qualify under subsection (b) or (c), this statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (d) because:							
	(1)	It is accompanied by a STATEMENT as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.97(e); AND						
	(2)	It is accompanied by a PETITION TO ACCEPT INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.97(d); AND						
	(3)	It is accompanied by the \$130 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(i)(1); AND						
	(4)	The Issue Fee has not yet been paid.						

✓ Fee Authorization. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge underpayment of any additional fees or credit any overpayment associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 06-1325. A duplicate copy of this authorization is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

FLIESLER, DUBB, MEYER & LOVEJOY LLP

Date: May 7, 2001

Sarah Barone Schwartz-Reg. No. 40,284

Form PTO- (Substitute)	PATENT AND T	ENT OF COMMERCE RADEMARK OFFICE		Serial/Patent Number 09/757,872					
INI	FORMATION DISCLOSURE ST BY APPLICANT (Use several sheets if necessary)	Applicant/Patent Owner John S. Flowers et al.							
		Filing/Issue Date January 10, 2001	Group Art Unit 2131						
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS									
Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date			
	5,892,903	04/06/99	Klaus	395	187.01	09/12/96			
	5,136,523	08/04/92	Landers	395	54	03/26/91			
	5,278,900 \ P E	01/11/94	Shieh et al.	380	4	04/30/92			
	5,802,720	09/01/98	Baehr et al.	395	200.79	05/18/95			
	MAY 1 0 2001								
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS									
Examiner Initial	Document Number Date		Country	Class Subclass		Trans- <u>lation</u> Yes No			
					ĺ				
	OTHER DOC	UMENTS (Include	e Author, Title, Date, Pertinent pages,	etc.)	٠				
0 0 6	Rebecca Bace, Infidel, Inc. "An Introduction to Intrusion Detection and Assessment", 1999, 38 pages.								
		Fyodor, "Remote OS detection via TCP/IP Stack FingerPrinting", October 18, 1998, 10pages.							
	The state of the s								
Examine		Date Considered							
	ER: Initial if citation considered, whether red. Include copy of this form with next c		nformance with MPEP 609. Draw line throcant.	ough citation	if not in conf	formance and			
*1 = Copy not submitted because it was submitted in prior application SN _/, filed, 19 *2 = Copy not submitted because it was submitted in prior application SN _/, filed, 19									
2 = Copy	not submitted because it was submitt	ed in prior application	on SN _/, filed, 19	<u>/</u> ·					